



## The Names People Play: Exploring MMOG players' avatar naming conventions

Aaron Lawson, STAR Lab, SRI International

Phone: +1-650-859-4356

[aaron@speech.sri.com](mailto:aaron@speech.sri.com)

Nick Taylor, Dept. of Communication, NCSU

[nickttaylor@gmail.com](mailto:nicktaylor@gmail.com)



# *Just call out my name...*

Aaron Lawson, STAR Lab, SRI International

[aaron@speech.sri.com](mailto:aaron@speech.sri.com)

Jennifer Jenson, York University

[jjenson@edu.yorku.ca](mailto:jjenson@edu.yorku.ca)

Suzanne de Castell, Simon Fraser University

[decaste@sfu.ca](mailto:decaste@sfu.ca)

Tamara Peyton, York University

[tspeyton@gmail.com](mailto:tspeyton@gmail.com)

Nick Taylor, NCSU

[nickttaylor@gmail.com](mailto:nickttaylor@gmail.com)



***This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Air Force Research Laboratory under contract number***

A Reynard Review Meeting – Sept 28 2011 – Page 2

FA9550-10-2-7000



## **Context: Virtual Environment Real User Study (VERUS)**

- Three-year study (concluding Fall 2012) of the connections between in-game MMOG behaviors and practices, and players ‘real life’ characteristics
- Collaboration between Simon Fraser University, York University, Nottingham University Business School, and SRI International
- approx. 1500 participants in university-based labs, public gaming events, and public schools



## Challenge:

*Can we reliably infer real world characteristics (age, gender, education level, capacity for leadership) from in-game activities and behaviors?*





**The VERUS assemblage:**  
**For 1500+ participants, recorded play in at least one game**  
**Data on players' (multiple) avatar names from multiple sources**

contexts	university labs	LAN events	schools
participants	students & their peers	dedicated gamers	grade 4-8 students
games	Guardian Academy (instrumented, browser-based MMO)		
	WoW / Rift / EVE Online		
research tools	online surveys / instrumented gameplay / fieldnotes		
	'travelogues'		
	external & screen AV		
analysis tools	SPSS / grounded theory coding / quantitative chatlog & event analysis		
	AV analysis		

## In this study...

- 144 females
- 393 males
- 537 total players
- 1457 avatar names



**Name: GingerJesus**

**Class: Fighter**

**Faction: Neutral**

**Level: 2**

**Guild: NA**

- Intensive analysis of 61 lab-based participants' first-time avatar creation and naming experiences in Rift

## Avatars and Gender

- In the VW, every participant chooses an avatar or character, which can be either male or female.
- Avatar gender choice is a big predictor of RW gender, at least in this study.
- Our goal in this part of the study was to use the avatar name as a source of data to identify RW gender using phonological factors, sound symbolism research, semantic factors, etc.
- Additional goal is to use the features developed for gender ID based on avatar names to identify the gender of those whose RW gender and VW gender is different.

# Avatar Gender Rule Accuracy

- FEMALE: ends in "a" 45/53 (85%)
- MALE: ends in back vowel BW 17/21 (81%)
- FEMALE: ends in "y" or y is female 23/35 (66%)
- MALE: ends in "er" or 'er' 9/11 (82%)
- MALE: ends in back or alveolar stop 44/59 (75%)
- MALE: ends in any consonant or consonant 94/138 (68%)
- MALE: ends with fricative consonant or fricative 17/19 (90%)
- MALE: Begins with capital 102/160 (64%)
- MALE: contains 'x' or 'z' (79%)



# Gender Switchers

- Let's apply these rules to participants whose RW gender and VW gender are different
- Can we still detect the RW gender? Do they change their avatar naming behavior when they change gender?
- Results:
  - Applying the same rules we find that the pattern is largely the same as with matched gender avatars
  - Female rules: precision: .71, recall .71
  - Male rules F1 precision: .76, recall .74

## Avatar Naming across Virtual Worlds

- Avatars are the primary means by which players navigate and interact in most virtual worlds.
- Players choose what to name their avatars and this allows for a certain level of personal continuity and identity across virtual environments.
- One might expect that this could take the form of re-using names, or devising names that share some elements in common with past avatar names.
- In this study quantitative analysis was performed to determine the extent to which avatar names maintain cohesion for the same player across multiple sessions.

# Avatar Naming Example

- This user shows many of the kinds of ‘traveling’ behavior we identify (altered -not actual avatar names)
  - lanuk129|Palabill
  - lanuk129|UncleBill
  - lanuk129|Itfunclebill
  - Lanuk129|2tfluffy
  - lanuk129|Im2tfrost
  - Lanuk129|2tbehindyou
  - lanuk129|Biiillyy
  - Lanuk129|Ironusensis
  - Lanuk129|Killsforfood
  - lanuk129|Priestigans

Gender	Avatar Names	Avatar Comparisons
F	357	127,092
M	1100	1,208,900
Total	1457	1,335,992

	% Identical Name Male	% Identical Name Female
Same Participant	2.8%	2.4%
Random	0.9%	1.7%

	% Completely Different Name (No N-grams Shared) Male	% Completely Different Name Female
Same Participant Uni	9.6%	6.2%
Random Uni	15.0%	14.5%
Same Participant Bi	54.1%	52.1%
Random Bi	79.8%	74.5%



# Procedure

- Looked at several ways of measuring the relationship between names across sessions
- Wanted to make sure we captured several phenomena
  - Shared parts of words ('dogman' and 'dogboy')
  - Anagrams ('god' and 'dog')
- Important to normalize measures where possible so that length of names wasn't an issue
- Goal is to quantify the difference between all names from the same RW person and all names from different RW persons.
- Coverage of different types of similarity will tell us how names are related, but has limitations (e. g. that 'man' and 'boy' both imply maleness)

# Quantitative Measures

- Levenshtein Distance (minimum edit): the normalized cost of converting one string to another
  - ‘dog’ to ‘cat’ cost is 1, since every character must be converted
  - ‘dog’ to ‘god’ cost is 0.66
- Unigram similarity: normalized difference in *characters*
  - ‘dog’ and ‘cat’ similarity is 0
  - ‘dog’ and ‘god’ similarity is 1
  - ‘dog’ and ‘dog’ similarity is also 1
- Bigram overlap: average number of shared bigrams between two names
  - ‘dog’ and ‘god’ have 0
  - ‘aaron’ and ‘aaron2’ have 4 (share ‘aa’, ‘ar’, ‘ro’, ‘on’, don’t share ‘n2’)

## Results: Avatar Naming Regardless of VW

<b>Gender</b>	<b>Bigrams per Name: Same Participant</b>	<b>Bigrams per Name: Different Participant</b>
<b>F</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>0.66</b>
<b>M</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>0.53</b>
<b>Ave</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>0.59</b>

	<b>Levenshtein Distance for Same Participant vs Different</b>	<b>Unigram Similarity for Same Participant vs Different</b>
<b>Ave</b>	<b>17% smaller</b>	<b>25% greater</b>

# Conclusions

- Avatar names became a major source for interesting research and provided surprising features gender
- Avatar naming conventions in virtual world environments often follow sound-symbolic patterns that mirror gender and language findings in the RW
  - This was true even for avatars whose RW and VW gender differed
- Avatars were also used to maintain continuity across VW environments via devices such as repetition, metonymy and anagrams
- There is much more to be learned from avatar names, the semantic and intertextual relationships are still to be investigated; pilot study is looking at using Wikipedia and Urban Dictionary to relate names though semantics and co-reference.